

# **RECAP** "Research on European Children and Adults born Preterm"

### Grant Agreement number: 733280

# Deliverable 2.1

The establishment of the EAHL-IAB interest group on governance of research

Workpackage: Task: Due Date: Actual Submission Date: Last Amendment deliverable: Project Dates:

Responsible partner: Responsible author: Email:

## WP X

T X.Z 30<sup>th</sup> September 2018 (M21) 30<sup>th</sup> September 2018 (M21) 30<sup>th</sup> September 2018 Project Start Date: January 01, 2017 Project Duration: 51 months MLC Foundation Evert-Ben van Veen eb.vanveen@medlaw.nl

|                     | Project funded by the European Commission within H2020-SC1-2016-2017/H2020-SC1-2016-RTD |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Dissemination Level |   |   |  |  |  |
| PU                  | Public  | х |  |  |  |
| РР                  | Restricted to other programme participants (including the Commission Services)          |   |  |  |  |
| RE                  | Restricted to a group specified by the consortium (including the Commission Services)   |   |  |  |  |
| СО                  | Confidential, only for members of the consortium (including the Commission Services)    |   |  |  |  |

### **Document History:**

| Version | Date       | Changes       | From                  | Review |
|---------|------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------|
| V1.0    | 30-09-2018 | First version | Evert-Ben van<br>Veen | TNO    |
|         |            |               |                       |        |

## SUMMARY

This deliverable describes the actions taken to align with others who work on governance of research to share developments and work in progress. WP2 organised a seminar on this topic at the bi-annual conference of the EAHL in Bergen and the WP2 lead became member of the Code of Conduct drafting group.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| Su | Summary           |   |    |  |  |
|----|-------------------|---|----|--|--|
| Та | Table of contents |   |    |  |  |
|    | 1 Introduction    |   |    |  |  |
|    | 1.1               | Purpose and Scope                       | .4 |  |  |
|    |                   | References to other RECAP Documents     |    |  |  |
|    | 1.3               | Definitions, Abbreviations and Acronyms | .4 |  |  |
|    |                   | HL, BBMRI-ERIC and Code of Conduct      |    |  |  |

## **1** INTRODUCTION

## **1.1 Purpose and Scope**

The purpose of task 2.3 is to align with others who work on governance of research in other projects or associations to share developments and work in progress.

## **1.2 References to other RECAP Documents**

• RECAP Research and Innovation action

## **1.3 Definitions, Abbreviations and Acronyms**

| Abbreviation/<br>Acronym | DEFINITION  |
|--------------------------|---|
| EAHL                     | European Association of Health Law  |
| IAB                      | International Association of Bioethics  |
| BBMRI-ERIC               | Biobanking and BioMolecular resources Research Infrastructure - European Research |
|                          | Infrastructure Consortium   |

### Table 1 List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

# 2 EAHL, BBMRI-ERIC AND CODE OF CONDUCT

This Deliverable calls for setting up a special interest group on the governance of research with health data in the European Association of Health Law (EAHL) and the International Association of Bioethics (IAB).

WP2 organised a seminar on this topic at the bi-annual conference of the EAHL in Bergen. The workshop was well attended but there proved to be no substantial interest in setting up a special interest group. The IAB does not know the concept of an interest group and hence could not participate either.

At the same time BBMRI-ERIC (Biobanking and BioMolecular resources Research Infrastructure – European Research Infrastructure Consortium) launched the initiative for a Code of Conduct on health research with data. This Code of Conduct aims to be much broader than data for biobanks which is the remit of BBMRI -ERIC.

The Code of Conduct will be drafted by a core group of writers of mixed composition of ethicists and lawyers and then reach out to a larger audience. See:

https://code-of-conduct-for-health-research.eu/

Instead of trying to set up another interest group, WP2 decided to liaise with this initiative. That would prevent duplication of efforts. The WP2 lead became member of the Code of Conduct drafting group and hence can immediately interact with stakeholders involved in the Code of Conduct and bring that knowledge to RECAP.